PatientNarr: Towards generating patient-centric summaries of hospital stays
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Abstract

PatientNarr summarizes information taken from textual discharge notes written by physicians, and structured nursing documentation. It builds a graph that highlights the relationships between the two types of documentation; and extracts information from the graph for content planning. SimpleNLG is used for surface realization.

1 Introduction

Every year, 7.9% of the US population is hospitalized (CDC, 2011). Patients need to understand what happened to them in the hospital, and what they should do after discharge. PatientNarr will ultimately be able to generate concise, lay-language summaries of hospital stays. We hypothesize that such summaries will help patients take care of themselves after they are discharged, and supplement current approaches to patient education, which is not always effective (Olson and Windish, 2010).

PatientNarr needs to summarize documentation that is currently segregated by profession; as a minimum, as physician discharge notes and as nursing plans-of-care. We contend that both sources are necessary to provide the patient with full understanding, also because much of the direct care provided by nurses will need to be continued following discharge (Cain et al., 2012).

In our case, PatientNarr summarizes data that is heterogeneous (textual for physician discharge notes, structured for nursing documentation). This paper describes the steps we have undertaken so far: (a) To demonstrate that physician and nurse documentations diverge, we map both to a graph, and study the relationships therein. This graph supports content planning. (b) We have developed the pipeline that extracts the information to be communicated, and renders it in English via SimpleNLG (Gatt and Reiter, 2009).

Related work. NLG and Summarization in the biomedical domain have been pursued for a few years (Di Eugenio and Green, 2010), but most work addresses health care personnel: to navigate cancer patients' medical histories (Hallett, 2008; Scott et al., 2013); to generate textual summaries describing a hospitalized infant for nurses (Portet et al., 2009); to generate reports of care for hand-off between emergency workers (Schneider et al., 2013). Most applications of NLG that target patients focus on behavioral changes (Reiter et al., 2003), or patient counseling (Green et al., 2011). Only few NLG systems attempt at generating personalized medical information from medical records or data (Williams et al., 2007; Mahamood and Reiter, 2011).

2 A motivating example

So far, we have gained access to 28 de-identified discharge notes of cardiology patients from the University of Illinois Hospital and Health Science System (UIHHSS). Figure 1 shows about 20% of the physician discharge notes for Patient 9. It is difficult to understand, not only because of jargon, but also because of ignorance of relevant domain relationships. Importantly, these notes do not talk about issues that are potentially important for the patient, like his state of mind, which are more often addressed by nurses. In our case, the nursing documentation is not textual, but entered via the HANDS tool, and stored in a relational database (Keenan et al., 2002). A tiny portion of the initial plan-of-care (POC) for Patient 9 is shown in Figure 2 (this nursing data is reconstructed, see Section 3). One POC is documented at every formal handoff (admission, shift change, or discharge). HANDS employs the NANDA-I taxon-
Patient was admitted to Cardiology for new onset a fib in RVR. Was given an additional dose of diltazem 30mg po when first seen. Patient was started on a heparin drip for possible TEE and cardioversion. Overnight his HR was in the 100-110s; however did increase to 160s for which patient was given 120mg er of diltazem. HR improved; however, in the morning while awake and moving around, HR did increase to the 130-140s. Patient was given another dose of IV dilt 20mg. [...] Upon discharge was given two prescriptions for BP, HCTZ and losartan given LVH seen on echo. Patient was counseled on the risks of stroke and different options for anticoagulation. [...]
You were admitted with new onset of atrial fibrillation. You reported feeling weakness, chest pressure and increased shortness of breath. You reported acute pain and you were anxious. During your hospitalization you were treated with analgesics for your pain and pain management was performed by the nursing team. Your shortness of breath improved. Your decreased cardiac output was treated with medication administration and knowledge about cardiac precautions, hypertension management, your treatment regimen and the prescribed medication were taught to you by the nurses. A Transophageal Echocardiography was performed. You met the expected outcomes for your condition and you were discharged under the condition improved for your home. You have an appointment scheduled at Union Medical Center on [DATE] with Physician [DR.]. The list of your medications is attached to this discharge.

source lists, or that are required to form a connection between a doctor-originated concept and a nurse-originated concept that would otherwise remain unconnected. All other concepts are removed. The result is a graph with several separate connected components, which correspond to clusters of related concepts occurring in the discharge notes or in the plans of care, or forming connections between the two sources.

We count distances in terms of relationships traversed, starting from the nursing concepts since they are fewer, and since path traversal is reversible. Concepts can overlap; or be directly connected (distance one); or be directly connected through an intermediate concept (distance two). We do not consider distances beyond two. Table 1 shows results for our specific example, Patient 9, and average results across our 28 test cases. As we can see, there are very few concepts in common, or even at distance 1. Our results provide quantitative evidence for the hypothesis that physicians and nurses talk differently, not just as far as terminology is concerned, but as regards aspects of patient care. This provides strong evidence for our hypothesis that a hospitalization summary should include both perspectives.

4 Automatically generating the summary

In this baseline version of PatientNarr, we focused on understanding how the vital parameters have improved over time, the treatment given for improvement and the issues addressed during the process. The summary generated by PatientNarr for Patient 9 is shown in Figure 4. We extract information of interest from the graph obtained at the previous step; we couch it as features of phrasal constituents via the operations provided by the SimpleNLG API. SimpleNLG then assembles grammatical phrases in the right order, and helps in aggregating related sentences.

Since there are far fewer nursing than doctor concepts, we start from the NANDA-I codes, i.e., the diagnoses. The name associated in UMLS with the corresponding CUI is used. For each NANDA-I node, we highlight the treatments given (the NIC codes), e.g. see the sentence starting with Acute onset pain was treated [...] in Figure 4. For both diagnosis and treatments, we attempt to relate them to doctor’s nodes. Specifically, we exploit the relationships in the UMLS ontology and include nodes in the graph we constructed that are at distance 1 or 2, and that are either doctor’s nodes, or intermediate nodes that connect to a doctor’s node. For example, in Dysrhythmia management is remedy for tachycardia and Atrial Fibrillation, Dysrhythmia management is a NIC intervention that is related to Cardiac Arrhythmia; in turn, Cardiac Arrhythmia is a direct hypernym of tachycardia and Atrial Fibrillation which were both extracted from the physician notes by MedLEE. Cardiac Arrhythmia was discovered by our graph building procedure, as described in Section 3.

We then highlight what improved during the hospital stay. As we mentioned earlier, the NOC codes (outcomes) are associated with a scale from 1 to 5 which indicates the initial value, the expected rating, and the actual rating at discharge. If the relative increase between admission and discharge encompasses more than 2 points on the scale, it is considered significant; if it encompasses 1 or 2 points, it is considered slight. In those cases in which more than one outcome is associated with a diagnosis, but improvement is not uniform, we include a cue “On the other hand”. For Patient 9, in the last POC recorded just before discharge, Anxiety Level is up 2 points (to 4), whereas Pain Level is up 3. We also indicate to the patient if the final rating reached the rating that was initially hoped for; it did not for Anxiety Level (See the two sentences starting from Pain level and Vi-
You were admitted for atrial fibrillation with rapid ventricular response. Acute onset pain related to pain was treated with pain management, monitoring of blood pressure, temperature, pulse rate and respiratory rate and administration of analgesic. Pain level and vital signs have improved significantly and outcomes have met the expectations. On the other hand, level of anxiety has improved slightly. Cardiac Disease Self-Management, Disease Process (Heart disease), Hypertension Management, Cardiac Disease Management, Hypertension Management and Treatment Regimen were taught. Low Cardiac Output related to heart failure was treated with cardiac precautions, monitoring of blood pressure, temperature, pulse rate and respiratory rate, and dysrhythmia management. Dysrhythmia management is remedy for tachycardia and atrial fibrillation. As a result, cardiac pump effectiveness, cardiopulmonary status and cardiac tissue perfusion status have improved slightly. Actual Negative Breathing Pattern related to respiration disorders was treated with respiration monitoring. Respiratory Status has improved significantly. You have an appointment at Union Medical Center on DATE at TIME. The list of medication is attached to this discharge.

5 Future Work

The research described in this paper lays the foundations for our project, but clearly much work remains to be done. To start with, we plan to build a corpus of gold-standard summaries, in order to derive (semi-)automatic models of the information to be included from physician notes and from nursing documentation. The five human authored summaries we currently have at our disposal are not sufficient, neither in quality nor (obviously) in quantity. We intend to inform their generation via a number of focus groups with all stakeholders involved: patients, doctors and nurses. To start with, the five summaries we do have were presented to the Patient Advisory Board of an unrelated project. These two patients noted that all unfamiliar terms should be explained, and that what the patient should do to improve their health after discharge, should be included.

Secondly, we will generate lay language by taking advantage of resources such as the Consumer Health Vocabulary (Doing-Harris and Zeng-Treitler, 2011; CHV, 2013), which maps medical terms to plain-language expressions. Additionally, we will pursue more sophisticated extraction and rendering of rhetorical relationships among events and their outcomes (Mancini et al., 2007).

Last but not least, we will perform user studies, both controlled evaluation of our summaries while still at the development stage, and eventually longer-term assessments of whether our summaries engender better adherence to medications and better keeping of follow-up appointments, and ultimately, better health.
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